

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10

11 RONALD WILSON, CIV. NO. S-04-690 DFL JFM

12 Plaintiff

13 v.

14 NORBRECK LLC dba JOHNNY
CARINOS, et al.,

15 Defendants.

16 _____/

17 RONALD WILSON, CIV. NO. S-06-1271 WBS EFB

18 Plaintiff,

19 v.

20 NORBRECK, LLC, dba JOHNNY
21 CARINO'S; FAIRBRECK, LLC;
22 BRECKENRIDGE WEST, LLC;
BRECKENRIDGE GROUP, INC.,

23 Defendants.

24 _____/

25 Examination of the above-entitled actions reveals that

26 these actions are related within the meaning of Local Rule 83-123

1 E.D. Cal. 2005). Accordingly, the assignment of the matters to
2 the same judge and magistrate judge is likely to effect a
3 substantial savings of judicial effort and is also likely to be
4 convenient for the parties.

5 The parties should be aware that relating the cases under
6 Local Rule 83-123 merely has the result that these actions are
7 assigned to the same judge and magistrate judge; no consolidation
8 of the actions is effected. Under the regular practice of this
9 court, related cases are generally assigned to the judge and
10 magistrate judge to whom the first filed action was assigned.

11 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the action denominated CIV. NO.
12 S-06-1271 WBS EFB be reassigned to Judge David F. Levi and
13 Magistrate Judge John F. Moulds for all further proceedings, and
14 any dates currently set in this reassigned case only are hereby
15 VACATED. The parties are referred to the attached Order
16 Requiring Joint Status Report. Henceforth, the caption on
17 documents filed in the reassigned case shall be shown as CIV. NO.
18 S-06-1271 DFL JFM.

19 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court make
20 appropriate adjustment in the assignment of civil cases to
21 compensate for this reassignment.

22 IT IS SO ORDERED.

23 DATED: November 2, 2006

24
25
26

/s/ David F. Levi
DAVID F. LEVI
United States District Judge